

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ANGELA Y. GUTHRIE, )  
vs. )  
ARGENT MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, *et al.*, )  
Plaintiff, )  
Defendants. )  
Case No. 2:11-cv-01811-JCM-PAL  
**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's and Defendant Kondaur Capital Corporation's ("Kondaur") failure to file a Certificate as to Interested Parties as required by LR 7.1-1. The Complaint in this matter was filed in state court and Defendant Kondaur Capital Corporation removed (Dkt. #1) it to federal court November 9, 2011. LR 7.1-1(a) requires, unless otherwise ordered, that in all cases (except *habeas corpus* cases) *pro se* litigants and counsel for private parties shall, upon entering a case, identify in the disclosure statement required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1 all persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships or corporations (including parent corporations) which have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of the case. LR 7.1-1(b) further states that if there are no known interested parties, other than those participating in the case, a statement to that effect must be filed. Additionally, LR 7.1-1(c) requires a party to promptly file a supplemental certification upon any change in the information that this rule requires. To date, Plaintiff and Defendant Kondaur have failed to comply.

This matter is also before the court on Defendant Kondaur's failure to file and serve a signed statement as required in Order (Dkt. #3) entered November 10, 2011, regarding removal of this case to federal district court. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** Plaintiff and Defendant Kondaur shall file their Certificate as to Interested Parties, which fully complies with LR 7.1-1 no later than **4:00 p.m., December 20, 2011**. Failure to

1 comply may result in the issuance of an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** counsel for the Defendant Kindaur shall, no later than **4:00**  
3 **p.m., December 20, 2011**, file and serve a signed statement under the case and caption that sets forth  
4 the following information:

- 5 1. The date(s) on which you were served with a copy of the complaint in the removed  
6 action.
- 7 2. The date(s) on which you were served with a copy of the summons.
- 8 3. In removals based on diversity jurisdiction, the names of any served defendants who are  
9 citizens of Nevada, the citizenship of the other parties and a summary of defendant's  
10 evidence of the amount in controversy.
- 11 4. If your notice of removal was filed more than thirty (30) days after you first received a  
12 copy of the summons and complaint, the reason removal has taken place at this time and  
13 the date you first received a paper identifying the basis for removal.
- 14 5. In actions removed on the basis of the court's jurisdiction in which the action in state  
15 court was commenced more than one year before the date of removal, the reasons this  
16 action should not summarily be remanded to the state court.
- 17 6. The name(s) of any defendant(s) known to have been served before you filed the notice  
18 of removal who did not formally join in the notice of removal and the reasons they did  
19 not.

20 Dated this 6<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Peggy A. Leen  
24 United States Magistrate Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28